

Azerbaijan

Ratified the European Convention on Human Rights in 2002

National Judge: Lətif Hüseynov (2017-2026)

Previous judge: Khanlar Hajiyev (2003-2017) [List of judges of the Court since 1959](#)
[Judges' CVs](#) are available on the ECHR Internet site

The Court dealt with 140 applications concerning Azerbaijan in 2020, of which 106 were declared inadmissible or struck out. It delivered 37 judgments* (concerning 34 applications), which found at least one violation of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Applications processed in	2019	2020	2021**
Applications allocated to a judicial formation	396	525	241
Communicated to the Government	108	159	74
Applications decided:	482	434	182
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Single Judge)	382	291	89
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Committee)	44	69	49
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Chamber)	1	0	0
- Decided by judgment	55	74	44

*Five judgments are against more than one respondent State, including Azerbaijan.

** January to July 2021

For information about the Court's judicial formations and procedure, see the [ECHR internet site](#).
Statistics on interim measures can be found [here](#).

Applications pending before the court on 01/07/2021	
Applications pending before a judicial formation:	2092
Single Judge	6
Committee (3 Judges)	1034
Chamber (7 Judges)	1051
Grand Chamber (17 Judges)	1

Azerbaijan and ...

The Registry

The task of the Registry is to provide legal and administrative support to the Court in the exercise of its judicial functions. It is composed of lawyers, administrative and technical staff and translators. There are currently **624** Registry staff members.

Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

Grand Chamber

[First judgment in infringement proceedings under Article 46 § 4 of the Convention](#)

29.05.2019

The case concerned the referral of a question to the European Court of Human Rights on 5 December 2017 by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, which is responsible for supervising the enforcement of the Court's judgments, as to whether Azerbaijan had failed to abide by a 2014 [judgment](#) by not releasing political activist Ilgar Mammadov unconditionally. The Committee was for the first time using powers set out in Article 46 § 4 of the European Convention. [Violation of Article 46 § 1 \(binding force and execution of judgments\)](#)

The Court found that the Government had taken only limited steps to implement the judgment, which had not amounted to Azerbaijan acting in "good faith" or in a manner which was in accordance with the "conclusions and spirit" of its ruling in Mr Mammadov's case. Azerbaijan had therefore failed to fulfil its obligation to comply with the 2014 ruling. **As this was the first ruling of its kind, the Court also set out some principles on the issues to consider when dealing with such cases.**

[Sargsyan v. Azerbaijan](#)

16.06.2015 (on the merits) 12.12.2017 (on the just satisfaction)¹

The case concerned an Armenian refugee's complaint that, after having been forced to flee from his home in the Shahumyan region of Azerbaijan in 1992 during the Armenian-Azerbaijani conflict over Nagorno-Karabakh, he had since been denied the right to return to his village and to have access to and use his property there.

[Continuing violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 \(protection of property\)](#)

¹ The Court held that the Azerbaijani Government had to pay the applicant 5,000 euros (EUR) in respect of pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and EUR 30,000 in costs and expenses (see [press release](#)).

[Continuing violation of Article 8 \(right to respect for private and family life\)](#)

[Continuing violation of Article 13 \(right to an effective remedy\)](#)

In Mr Sargsyan's case, the Court confirmed that, although the village from which he had to flee was located in a disputed area, Azerbaijan had jurisdiction over it.

It was the first case in which the Court had to decide on a complaint against a State which had lost control over part of its territory as a result of war and occupation, but which at the same time was alleged to be responsible for refusing a displaced person access to property in an area remaining under its control.

There are currently more than one thousand similar individual applications pending before the Court.

Chamber

Right to life cases (Article 2)

[Lapshin v. Azerbaijan](#)

20.05.2021

The case concerned an incident during the applicant's imprisonment in Azerbaijan in 2017 for having crossed the State border outside the checkpoints during journeys to Nagorno-Karabakh, and the ensuing inquiry by the prosecutor's office into the incident. The authorities asserted that the incident had been a suicide attempt, while the applicant alleged it had been attempted murder.

[Violation of Article 2 – procedural aspect \(right to life: obligation to conduct an effective investigation\)](#)

[Violation of Article 2 – substantive aspect \(right to life\).](#)

[Makuchyan and Minasyan v. Azerbaijan and Hungary](#)

26.05.2020

The case concerned the presidential pardon given to a convicted murderer and his release following his transfer from Hungary to Azerbaijan to serve the rest of his sentence. R.S., a military officer from Azerbaijan, killed an Armenian military officer and attempted to kill another one when they were attending a course in Hungary in 2004. The case also concerned more generally the hero's welcome given to R.S. in Azerbaijan upon his return.

No substantive violation by Azerbaijan of Article 2

Procedural violation by Azerbaijan of Article 2

No procedural violation by Hungary of Article 2

Violation by Azerbaijan of Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination) taken in conjunction with Article 2

Neither the Azerbaijani nor Hungarian Governments had failed to comply with Article 38 (obligation to furnish necessary facilities for the examination of the case)

Saribekyan and Balyan v. Azerbaijan

30.01.2020

The case concerned the death of the applicants' son, an Armenian citizen, while in military police detention in Azerbaijan.

Violation of Article 2 owing to the applicants' son's death in detention in Azerbaijan

Violation of Article 2 owing to the lack of an effective investigation into the son's death

Huseynova v. Azerbaijan

13.04.2017

Case brought by the wife of Elmar Huseynov, a well-known journalist who was shot dead on 2 March 2005.

No violation of Article 2 (right to life)

Violation of Article 2 (right to life/investigation)

Mikayil Mammadov v. Azerbaijan

17.12.2009

Suicide committed by the applicant's wife allegedly in plain view of several State agents in the course of a police operation in the context of forced eviction

No violation of Article 2

Violation of Article 2 (investigation)

Cases dealing with inhuman or degrading treatment (Article 3)

Violation of Article 3

Ibrahimov and Mammadov v. Azerbaijan

13.02.2020

The case concerned two applicants who were arrested and prosecuted on drugs charges, which they alleged were false and that the real reason for the authorities' actions was that they had painted political graffiti on the statue of a former president.

Saribekyan and Balyan v. Azerbaijan

30.01.2020

The case concerned the death of the applicants' son, an Armenian citizen, while in military police detention in Azerbaijan.

Mammadov and Others v. Azerbaijan

21.02.2019

The case concerned an Azerbaijani academic who complained that he had been arrested in 2007, held in unacknowledged detention for 24 hours and then sentenced to 15 days' administrative detention which he had spent in a location unknown either to his family or lawyer. He alleged that he had been ill-treated during that period and had not been provided with medical care for high blood pressure, prostatitis and an overactive thyroid. He also complained that he had then spent over a year in pre-trial detention without a proper justification until his conviction of high treason and sentencing to 10 years' imprisonment. He died in detention in 2009 of a heart attack.

Violation of Article 3 as regards Mr Mammadov's ill-treatment between 2 and 17 February 2007

Violation of Article 3 because he had been deprived of medical care between 2 and 17 February 2007

Violation of Article 3 concerning the lack of an effective investigation into his allegation of ill-treatment

Violation of Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security) because the first 24 hours of his detention had not been recorded

Violation of Article 5 § 3 (entitlement to trial within a reasonable time or to release pending trial) because the authorities had failed to give "relevant" and "sufficient" reasons to justify his pre-trial detention between February 2007 and June 2008

No violation of Article 2 (right to life) as concerned his death in detention

Violation of Article 2 as concerned the authorities' failure to conduct an effective investigation into his death

Mustafa Hajili v. Azerbaijan

24.11.2016

The applicant, Mr Mustafa Hajili, was editor-in-chief of the *Demokrat* newspaper. The case concerned his allegations that, after attempting to attend a protest in Baku's Fountains Square, he had been arrested by police and assaulted by officers whilst in custody.

Yunusova and Yunusov v. Azerbaijan

02.06.2016

The case concerned the allegation by Mr Yunusov and Ms Yunusova, husband and wife and well-known human rights defenders and civil society activists, that their medical care in detention had been inadequate. During the proceedings before the European Court, the couple had notably been granted their request (under Rule 39 of the Rules of Court – interim measures) to be provided with adequate medical care in prison.

Emin Huseynov v. Azerbaijan

07.05.2015

Police's treatment of a journalist who was arrested at a café in Baku during a private party to celebrate Che Guevara's birthday, and who had to be admitted to intensive care in a hospital following his release from police custody.

The Court found in particular that Mr Huseynov had been ill-treated during his arrest and whilst in police detention and that there had been no effective investigation in this respect. It further found that he had been unlawfully deprived of his liberty and that the police intervention had amounted to an unlawful interference with his freedom of assembly.

Rizvanov v. Azerbaijan

17.04.2012

The applicant, a former journalist, complained that a police officer had hit him with a truncheon in November 2005 while he was covering a demonstration in Baku held by a group of opposition political parties, and that no effective investigation had been carried out into the incident.

Garayev v. Azerbaijan

10.06.2010

The Court found that Azerbaijan would violate the Convention if it extradited the applicant to Uzbekistan, and that the applicant had been detained unlawfully pending extradition

Muradova v. Azerbaijan

02.04.2009

Excessive force used by riot police during a political demonstration.

Hummatov v. Azerbaijan

29.11.2007

Lack of adequate medical treatment in prison and lack of public character of appeal hearings in a high security prison

Mammadov (Jalaloglu) v. Azerbaijan

11.01.2007

Torture in police custody and lack of effective investigation

No violation of Article 3

Saribekyan and Balyan v. Azerbaijan

30.01.2020

The case concerned the death of the applicants' son, an Armenian citizen, while in military police detention in Azerbaijan.

Cases dealing with liberty and security (Article 5)

Violation of Article 5

Mirgadirov v. Azerbaijan and Turkey

17.09.2020

The case concerned the arrest and pre-trial detention of the applicant, a well-known journalist, on charges of high treason as he had allegedly spied for Armenia.

Violation of Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security) over the absence of a reasonable suspicion of a criminal offence

Violation of Article 5 § 1 over the applicant's detention from 19 to 20 November 2014 in the absence of a court order

Violation of Article 5 § 4 (judicial review of the lawfulness of detention) on account of the domestic courts' failure to assess the applicant's arguments in favour of his release;

Violation of Article 6 § 2 (presumption of innocence)

Violation of Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life)

No violation of Article 18 (limitation on use of restrictions of rights) in conjunction with Article 5

Ibrahimov and Mammadov v. Azerbaijan

13.02.2020

The case concerned two applicants who were arrested and prosecuted on drugs charges, which they alleged were false and that the real reason for the authorities'

actions was that they had painted political graffiti on the statue of a former president.

Rustamzade v. Azerbaijan

07.03.2019

The case concerned a student's arrest and detention in 2013 for allegedly filming some friends dancing in a park and uploading the video of it to YouTube. He was charged with hooliganism and spent one year in pre-trial detention. He was convicted in 2014 as charged, as well as of mass disorder and arms offences which had in the meantime been added to the list of charges, and sentenced to eight years' imprisonment.

Haziye v. Azerbaijan

06.12.2018

The case concerned the detention of an Azerbaijani journalist and opposition activist following an altercation in the street. He was arrested in August 2014 and held in detention pending trial until his conviction for hooliganism in January 2015.

Rashad Hasanov and Others v. Azerbaijan

07.06.2018

The case concerned the detention of the applicants, who are civil society activists, on charges of obtaining and supplying Molotov cocktails.

The Court also held that there had been a violation of Article 18 (limitation on use of restrictions on rights) finding that the action taken against the applicants had been because they were members of NIDA, which had been part of a wider crackdown on civil society in Azerbaijan.

Mammadli v. Azerbaijan

19.04.2018

The case concerned the arrest and detention of a well-known Azerbaijani civil society activist and human rights defender, Anar Asaf oglu Mammadli. He runs several non-governmental organisations involved in election monitoring. He was arrested in December 2013 and held in pre-trial detention until his conviction in May 2014 for a number of offences, including illegal entrepreneurship, tax evasion and abuse of power.

Rasul Jafarov v. Azerbaijan

17.03.2016

The case concerned the complaint by a well-known human rights defender that his

arrest and pre-trial detention had been unjustified.

The Court also held that the Government of Azerbaijan had failed to comply with their obligations under Article 34 (right of individual petition).

Muradverdiyev v. Azerbaijan Farhad Aliyev v. Azerbaijan

09.11.2010

The applications were brought by former high-profile government officials and businessmen who complained that they had been arrested, detained for longer than authorised by domestic law before being brought before a judge, and accused of planning a coup d'état before the parliamentary elections of 2005.

Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan (No. 2)

16.11.2017

The case concerned the criminal proceedings brought against a prominent Azerbaijani opposition politician, Ilgar Eldar oglu Mammadov, following protests in the town of Ismayilli in 2013. Mr Mammadov was subsequently charged and convicted of mass disorder. This is the second case he has brought before the European Court of Human Rights; the first concerned his arrest and pre-trial detention following the same events (see summary below).

Violation of Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial)

Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan

22.05.2014

Arrest and detention pending trial of an opposition politician and blogger following his reports on street protests in the town of Ismayilli in January 2013.

Violation of Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security)

Violation of Article 5 § 4 (right to judicial review of one's detention)

Violation of Article 6 § 2 (presumption of innocence)

Violation of Article 18 (limitation on use of restrictions on rights)

Cases concerning Article 6

Right to a fair trial

Violation of Article 6

[Farzaliyev v. Azerbaijan](#)

28.05.2020

The case concerned proceedings brought against the applicant, the former Prime Minister of the Nakhchivan Autonomous Republic in Azerbaijan ("the NAR"), for allegedly embezzling public funds. He was never convicted of an offence in criminal proceedings, but was subsequently ordered to pay compensation in civil proceedings amounting to the sum allegedly embezzled.

[Abdullayev v. Azerbaijan](#)

07.03.2019

The case concerned the prosecution and conviction of a former member of parliament on account of his fight with another deputy during parliamentary session.

[Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan \(No. 2\)](#)

16.11.2017

The case concerned the criminal proceedings brought against a prominent Azerbaijani opposition politician, Ilgar Eldar oglu Mammadov, following protests in the town of Ismayilli in 2013. Mr Mammadov was subsequently charged and convicted of mass disorder. This is the second case he has brought before the European Court of Human Rights; the first concerned his arrest and pre-trial detention following the same events.

[Sakit Zahidov v. Azerbaijan](#)

12.11.2015

The case concerned Mr Zahidov's allegation that he had been convicted of a drugs offence on the basis of planted evidence.

[Huseyn and Others v. Azerbaijan](#)

26.07.2011

Complaints by four opposition activists about the unfairness of criminal proceedings brought against them for their role in clashes between demonstrators after the presidential elections of 15 October 2003.

[Rahmanova v. Azerbaijan](#)

10.07.2008

Breach of the principle of legal certainty by way of quashing of a final judgment by the

Plenum of the Supreme Court under a procedure of "additional cassation".

[Abbasov v. Azerbaijan](#)

17.01.2008

Cassation hearing held in the absence of the applicant and his lawyer.

Cases concerning private and family life (Article 8)

Violation of Article 8

[Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan](#)

10.01.2019

Cases on Article 9 (right to freedom of conscience, thought and religion)

[Mushfig Mammadov and Others v. Azerbaijan](#)

17.10.2019

The case concerned the applicants' refusal on religious grounds to serve in the army.

Violation of Article 9

First case on compulsory military service.

The case highlighted an issue relating to the lack of legislation on civilian service as an alternative to military service in Azerbaijan. The enactment of such a law corresponded to a commitment entered into by Azerbaijan on its accession to the Council of Europe and was also a requirement under the country's own Constitution.

Freedom of expression cases (Article 10)

Violation of Article 10

[Ibrahimov and Mammadov v. Azerbaijan](#)

13.02.2020

The case concerned two applicants who were arrested and prosecuted on drugs charges, which they alleged were false and that the real reason for the authorities' actions was that they had painted political graffiti on the statue of a former president.

[Tagiyev and Huseynov v. Azerbaijan](#)

05.12.2019

The case concerned the applicants' conviction for inciting religious hatred and

hostility with their remarks on Islam in an article they had published in 2006.

[Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan](#)

10.01.2019

The case concerned an alleged smear campaign against a well-known journalist, Khadija Rovshan qizi Ismayilova. In particular, she was sent a letter threatening her with public humiliation if she did not stop her investigative reporting. When she refused, a "sex video" filmed without her knowledge of her and her then boyfriend was posted on the Internet. Around the same time, newspapers ran stories accusing her of anti-government bias and immoral behaviour. She later discovered hidden cameras all over her flat.

[Fatullayev v. Azerbaijan](#)

22.04.2010

Journalist criminally convicted in unfair trials for several of his published statements.

[Mahmudov and Agazade v. Azerbaijan](#)

18.12.2008

Conviction of journalists for publishing a defamatory article and imposition of a disproportionate penalty (prison sentence).

Cases concerning freedom of assembly and association (Article 11)

[Violation of Article 11](#)

[Jafarov and Others v. Azerbaijan](#)

25.07.2019

The case concerned the authorities' repeated refusal to register a non-governmental organization set up to defend human rights in Azerbaijan. The authorities' ultimate reason for the refusal was the NGO founders' failure to specify the powers of a "legal representative" in their founding document. The NGO founders, applicants in this case, contested the refusals before the domestic courts, without success.

[Tebieti Mühafize Cemiyeti and Israfilov v. Azerbaijan](#)

08.10.2009

Dissolution of a public association for its alleged failure to comply with domestic law requirements on internal management of associations.

[Ramazanova and Others v. Azerbaijan](#)

01.02.2007

Unlawful delays in State registration of an association.

Limitation on use of restrictions on rights (Article 18)

[Ibrahimov and Mammadov v. Azerbaijan](#)

13.02.2020

The case concerned two applicants who were arrested and prosecuted on drugs charges, which they alleged were false and that the real reason for the authorities' actions was that they had painted political graffiti on the statue of a former president.

Violation of Article 18 (improper use of restrictions in the Convention) taken in conjunction with Article 5 because the actual reason for the applicants' arrest and detention had been their painting political graffiti

[Natiq Jafarov v. Azerbaijan](#)

07.11.2019

The case concerned Mr Jafarov's arrest, pre-trial detention and confinement in a metal cage in court. His case is similar to that of other opposition and civil society activists in Azerbaijan previously examined by the Court.

Violation of Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment)

Violation of Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security)

Violation of Article 5 § 4 (lack of effective judicial review of detention)

Violation of Article 18 in conjunction with Article 5

[Aliyev v. Azerbaijan](#)

20.09.2018

The case concerned the detention of a lawyer and human rights activist on charges including illegal entrepreneurship, embezzlement and tax evasion.

Violation of Article 3 (prohibition of torture) related to the conditions of the applicant's pre-trial detention, and no violation of Article 3 related to his medical care in detention and to the conditions of a later period of detention

Violation of Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security) owing to the lack of a reasonable suspicion that he had

committed a criminal offence as grounds for his detention

Violation of Article 5 § 4 (review of detention) on account of the lack of a proper judicial review of the lawfulness of his detention

Violation of Article 8 (right to respect for private life and communications) because of a search of his office and home

Violation of Article 18 as the Court found that the measures taken against the applicant had been aimed at silencing and punishing him for his human rights activities rather than for one of the legitimate purposes under the Convention

Property rights (Article 1 of Protocol No. 1)

[Akimova v. Azerbaijan](#)

27.09.2007

Unlawful suspension of the execution of a final judgment evicting a family of internally displaced persons who had illegally settled in the applicant's apartment

[Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1](#)

Cases concerning the right to free elections

[Violation of Article 3 of Protocol No 1](#)

[Abil v. Azerbaijan \(no. 2\)](#)

05.12.2019

The case concerned the applicant being disqualified from running in parliamentary elections.

The Court also concluded that Azerbaijan had not complied with its obligations under Article 34 (right of individual petition).

[Abdalov and others v. Azerbaijan](#)

11.07.2019

The case concerned the applicants' complaint about facing such long delays in registering as candidates for November 2010 parliamentary elections that they had had no time to campaign and compete effectively.

[Shukurov v. Azerbaijan](#)

27.10.2016

The case concerned electoral irregularities in the parliamentary elections of November 2010.

Azerbaijan had failed to comply with its obligations under Article 34 (right to individual petition)

[Gahramanli and Others v. Azerbaijan](#)

08.10.2015

Complaints brought by the applicants, candidates for various opposition political parties, about electoral fraud and irregularities during the 2010 parliamentary elections. Their allegations included interference with the election process by electoral commission members, undue influence on voter choice, obstruction of observers and ballot-box stuffing.

[Karimov v. Azerbaijan](#)

25.09.2014

Allegations by an opposition candidate about irregularities in the 2005 parliamentary elections.

[Kerimova v. Azerbaijan](#)

30.09.2010

Complaint about the arbitrary invalidation of election results in the applicant's electoral constituency depriving her of her victory in the elections.

[Namat Aliyev v. Azerbaijan](#)

08.04.2010

Complaint about an arbitrary and ineffective examination of the applicant's complaints about election irregularities. Seven other similar applications were struck out by the Court after this judgment following the Government's unilateral declaration acknowledging the violations.

[Seyidzade v. Azerbaijan](#)

03.12.2009

Arbitrary refusal to register the applicant, a former clergy member, as a candidate for parliamentary elections despite his having resigned from all positions that could be seen as involving "professional religious activity".

Noteworthy pending cases

Grand Chamber

Interstate cases

Armenia v. Azerbaijan (no. 42521/20)

Azerbaijan v. Armenia (no. 47319/20)

The applications concern mainly the recent hostilities between Armenia and Azerbaijan and contain allegations of widespread violations of the Convention by the respondent States during the hostilities, including indiscriminate attacks on civilians as well as civilian and public property and infrastructure; executions, ill-treatment and mutilations of combatants and civilians; the capture and continued detention of prisoners of war; and the forced displacement of the civilian population in areas affected by the military actions.

The Chamber [relinquished](#) jurisdiction in favour of the Grand Chamber on 11 May 2021.

See press releases of [28.09.2020](#), [30.09.2020](#), [27.10.2020](#), [04.11.2020](#), [02.12.2020](#), [16.12.2020](#), [04.02.2021](#), [16.03.2021](#) and [12.05.2021](#).

Chamber

Ozdemir v. Azerbaijan (no. 8098/18) and 4 other applications

Case [communicated](#) to the Government in February 2019

The case concerns the extrajudicial removal of Turkish nationals, alleged members of the Gülen movement, from Azerbaijan to Turkey.

The applicants complain under Article 3 about their risk of ill-treatment in Turkey, under Article 5 about their detention in Azerbaijan and about the lack of effective remedies in Azerbaijan.